

# The Balance Of Power In International Relations Metaphors Myths And Models

As recognized, adventure as without difficulty as experience not quite lesson, amusement, as without difficulty as harmony can be gotten by just checking out a ebook **The Balance Of Power In International Relations Metaphors Myths And Models** with it is not directly done, you could allow even more with reference to this life, roughly speaking the world.

We allow you this proper as competently as easy showing off to get those all. We present The Balance Of Power In International Relations Metaphors Myths And Models and numerous books collections from fictions to scientific research in any way. in the course of them is this The Balance Of Power In International Relations Metaphors Myths And Models that can be your partner.

## Related Works

*Beyond the Balance of Power* Peter Jackson 2013-12-05 This is a major study of French foreign and security policy before, during and after the First World War. Peter Jackson examines the interplay between two contending conceptions of security: the first based on traditional practices of power politics and the second on internationalist doctrines that emerged in the late nineteenth century. He pays particular attention to the social and political context in which security policy was made and to the cultural dynamics of the policy-making process. The result is a comprehensive reassessment of France's security policy in the era of the Great War. The book reconsiders the evolution of French war aims and reinterprets the peace policy of the Clemenceau government in 1919. It provides a perspective on the foreign policy of successive French governments in the early 1920s, and also shows that internationalist ideas were far more influential over this entire period than is commonly understood.

**The Revolution in International Relations** E.F. Penrose 2019-06-04 Published in 1965: The study examines the changing structure and pattern of international relations in a world wide context. Defining balance of power in a dynamic sense akin to that of a "moving equilibrium".

**Armed Groups and the Balance of Power** Anthony Vinci 2008-09-09 This new book provides a framework for understanding the international relations of armed groups, including terrorist organizations, insurgencies and warlords, which play an increasingly important role in the international system. Specifically, the book argues that such groups can be understood as taking part in the balance of power with states and other armed groups, as they are empirically sovereign non-state actors that are motivated by the pursuit of power and exist as part of an anarchic, self-help system. This radically new approach offers a renewed conceptualization of Neorealism, and provides new insights into debates about sovereignty, non-state actors, new wars, counterterrorism, and counterinsurgency. The approach is illustrated through case studies on Somali warlords, the security complex between the Lord's Resistance Army (LRA), Sudanese People's Liberation Army (SPLA), Sudan and Uganda, as well as Al Qaeda. The book provides insights into such issues as how non-state actors can be integrated into structural theories of international relations, and also offers pragmatic methodologies for the foreign policy or military practitioner, such as how to best deter terrorists.

**The Balance of Power** Emerson Niou (M. S.) 1989-11-24 One of the fundamental issues of international relations concerns whether, and under what conditions, stability prevails in anarchic systems, these being systems in which all authority and institutional restraints to action are wholly endogenous. This book uses the tools of game theory to develop a comprehensive theory of such systems and details both necessary and sufficient conditions for stability. The authors first define two forms of stability: system and resource stability. International political systems are said to be stable when no state confronts the possibility of a loss of sovereignty. Resource stability, in contrast, requires that the current distribution of wealth and power among states can change only due to differences in the vitality of economies. The theory developed in this book refines the classic balance-of-power theory and formally incorporates into that theory the consideration of endogenous resource growth, preventive war, war costs and the imperatives of geography, revealing a fundamental conflict between the concepts of 'balancers' and 'central powers'.

*America Unrivaled* G. John Ikenberry 2002 American power today is without historical precedent, dominating the world system. No other nation has enjoyed such formidable advantages in military, economic, technological, cultural, and political capabilities. How stable is this unipolar American order? Will the age-old dynamic of the balance of power reemerge as the other great powers rise up to challenge American preeminence? America Unrivaled examines these questions. The experts in this volume contend that full-scale balancing in this new world order has not yet occurred. They ask if a backlash against American dominance is just around the corner, or if characteristics of the current situation alter or eliminate the entire logic of power balancing.American power poses threats, as do the likely responses to that power, the experts argue in America Unrivaled. The definition of these threats is critical to understanding future political trends and learning whether an original (and stable) world system has already come into existence. Most of the contributors agree that novel features of the American hegemony and the wider global order make an automatic return to a traditional balance of power order unlikely.

*Back to Basics* Martha Finnemore 2013-04-11 No scholar better exemplifies the intellectual challenges foisted on the Neorealist school of international relations than prominent scholar Stephen Krasner (Graham H. Stuart Professor of International Studies, the Senior Associate Dean for the Social Sciences, School of Humanities & Sciences, and Director of Policy Planning at the US State Department 2005-2007). Throughout his career he has wrestled with realism's promises and limitations. Krasner has always been a prominent defender of realism and the importance of power understood in material terms, whether military or economic. Yet realist frameworks rarely provided a complete explanation for outcomes, in Krasner's analyses, and much of his work involved understanding power's role in situations not well explained by realism. If states seek power, why do we see cooperation? If hegemony promotes cooperation why does cooperation continue in the face of America's decline? Do states actually pursue their national interests or do domestic structures and values derail the rational pursuit of material objectives? Krasner's explanations were as diverse as were the problems. They pushed, to use his phrase, "the limits of realism." Edited by Martha Finnemore and Judith Goldstein, *Back to Basics* asks scholars to reflect on the role power plays in contemporary politics and how a power politics approach is influential today. The arguments made by the authors in this volume speak to one of three themes that run through Krasner's work: state power and hegemony; the relationship between states and markets; conceptions of the nation state in international politics. These themes appeared regularly in Krasner's scholarship as he wrestled, over his career, with fundamental questions of inter-state politics. Contributors largely agree on the centrality of power but diverge substantially on the ways power is manifest and should be measured and understood. Many of the contributors confronted the same intellectual dilemmas as Krasner in struggling to define power and its relationship to interests, yet their responses are different. Together, these essays explore new ways of thinking about power's role in contemporary politics and demonstrate the concepts continued relevance for both policy and theory.

*Power and International Relations* David A. Baldwin 2016-03-22 Contrary to conventional wisdom, the concept of power has not always been central to international relations theory. During the 1920s and 30s, power was often ignored or vilified by international relations scholars—especially in America. Power and International Relations explores how this changed in later decades by tracing how power emerged as an important social science concept in American scholarship after World War I. Combining intellectual history and conceptual analysis, David Baldwin examines power's increased presence in the study of international relations and looks at how the three dominant approaches of realism, neoliberalism, and constructivism treat power. The clarity and precision of thinking about power increased greatly during the last half of the twentieth century, due to efforts by political scientists, psychologists, sociologists, economists, philosophers, mathematicians, and geographers who contributed to "social power literature." Baldwin brings the insights of this literature to bear on the three principal theoretical traditions in international relations theory. He discusses controversial issues in power analysis, and shows the relevance of older works frequently underestimated today. Focusing on the social power perspective in international relations, this book sheds light on how power has been considered during the last half century and how it should be approached in future research.

**Balance of Power in World History** S. Kaufman 2007-08-22 The balance of power is one of the most influential ideas in international relations, yet it has never been comprehensively examined in pre-modern or non-European contexts. This book redresses this imbalance. The authors present eight new case studies of balancing and balancing failure in pre-modern and non-European international systems.

*Balance of Power* Chris Crawford 1986-01-01

**Realism in International Relations and International Political Economy** Stefano Guzzini 2013-11-05 Stefano Guzzini's study offers an understanding of the evolution of the realist tradition within International Relations and International Political Economy. It sees the realist tradition not as a school of thought with a static set of fixed principles, but as a repeatedly failed attempt to turn the rules of European diplomacy into the laws of a US social science. Realism in International Relations and International Political Economy concentrates on the evolution of a leading school of thought, its critiques and its institutional environment. As such it will provide an invaluable basis to anyone studying international relations theory.

**Unanswered Threats** Randall L. Schweller 2010-12-16 Why have states throughout history regularly underestimated dangers to their survival? Why have some states been able to mobilize their material resources effectively to balance against threats, while others have not been able to do so? The phenomenon of "underbalancing" is a common but woefully underexamined behavior in international politics. Underbalancing occurs when states fail to recognize dangerous threats, choose not to react to them, or respond in paltry and imprudent ways. It is a response that directly contradicts the core prediction of structural realism's balance-of-power theory—that states motivated to survive as autonomous entities are coherent actors that, when confronted by dangerous threats, act to restore the disrupted balance by creating alliances or increasing their military capabilities, or, in some cases, a combination of both. Consistent with the new wave of neoclassical realist research, Unanswered Threats offers a theory of underbalancing based on four domestic-level variables—elite consensus, elite cohesion, social cohesion, and regime/government vulnerability—that channel, mediate, and redirect policy responses to external pressures and incentives. The theory yields five causal schemes for underbalancing behavior, which are tested against the cases of interwar Britain and France, France from 1877 to 1913, and the War of the Triple Alliance (1864-1870) that pitted tiny Paraguay against Brazil, Argentina, and Uruguay. Randall Schweller concludes that those most likely to underbalance are incoherent, fragmented states whose elites are constrained by political considerations.

**The Theory of International Relations** Friedrich Lutz 2017-07-05 The great writings of the past on the subject of international relations add an important dimension to the contemporary study of the field. The Theory of International Relations consists of substantial selections from authors whose ideas should be readily available to all students of international relations. All the passages selected by the editors ask fundamental, theoretical questions searching for the essence of interstate relations. This quest for answers carries the reader into investigations of the causes of war, the balance of power, the relationship between international relations and the political theory of the state, and other major issues of this subject.The editors provide an introduction to the work, which sets out the principles of selection and their belief in the relevance of political thought to the understanding of international relations. The selections are arranged in chronological sequence from Alberico Gentili, writing in 1598, to Heinrich von Treitschke, lecturing in Berlin at the end of the nineteenth century. All are concerned with the nature of international politics. Some of these selections are translated here for the first time and others reprinted from translations not easily obtainable. It is significant that Gentz's essay on the balance of power has not appeared in English since 1806, while Rousseau's writings on international politics have never been fully translated at all.There can be little doubt that the great writers of the past are presently neglected by students of international relations. This work covers extensive ground in solving this problem. As the theoretical background of international relations is acquiring an increasingly important place in college courses in this area, the need for this book is widely felt.

**An Overview of the Balance of Power Concept in International Relations** John Fernandes 1975

*Understanding International Relations* Chris Brown 1997 Understanding International Relations provides a concise but broad introduction to the subject that focuses on the close relationship between the evolution of theory and the major events of the twentieth century. The author starts by tracing the history of international relations in the 1930s to the debate over the nature of the future international systems in the aftermath of the Cold War. Traditional topics such as the national interest, the balance of power and war are examined, but equal attention is given to international political economy, regime theory and issues of global governance such as international environmental politics and the politics of refugees and migrants. The book concludes by reviewing new agendas in the post Cold War period and outlining the components for a new theoretical synthesis.

*Theory of International Politics* Kenneth N. Waltz 2010-01-26 From Theory of International Politics . . . National politics is the realm of authority, of administration, and of law. International politics is the realm of power, of struggle, and of accommodation. . . . States, like people, are insecure in proportion to the extent of their freedom. If freedom is wanted, insecurity must be accepted. Organizations that establish relations of authority and control may increase security as they decrease freedom. If might does not make right, whether among people or states, then some institution or agency has intervened to lift them out of nature's realm. The more influential the agency, the stronger the desire to control it becomes. In contrast, units in an anarchic order act for their own sakes and not for the sake of preserving an organization and furthering their fortunes within it. Force is used for ones own interest. In the absence of organization, people or states are free to leave one another alone. Even when they do not do so, they are better able, in the absence of the politics of the organization, to concentrate on the politics of the problem and to aim for a minimum agreement that will permit their separate existence rather than a maximum agreement for the sake of maintaining unity. If might decides, then bloody struggles over right can more easily be avoided.

**Realism and the Balancing of Power** John A. Vasquez 2003 This book explores all aspects of an important scholarly debate that has widespread implications for the political world, including the making of foreign policy—i.e., a debate over whether the contemporary theory of the balance of power as presented by Kenneth Waltz is a scientifically acceptable theory. It allows readers to examine and analyze the different views (in their original form) by all those in the debate and to come to their own conclusions. An Introduction gives an overview of the debate, defines and clarifies in simple language some of the major concepts used in philosophy of science, sets the historical context of the debate, and explains why it is important for both international relations theory and foreign policy making. An editorial commentary for each article highlights areas of agreement and disagreement with the other authors. First presents the original articles in the initial debate with responses from several of the leading international relations theorists in the field—Kenneth Waltz, Thomas Christensen, Jack Snyder, Colin Elman, Miriam Fendius Elman, Randall Schweller, and Stephen Walt. Then features response from scholars who take differing methodological approaches and who have disparate views on realism and balancing of power (e.g., Jack S. Levy, Paul W. Schroeder, Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, Zeev Maoz, Richard Rosecrance, Charles L. Glaser, William C. Wohlforth, Michael Barnett). For anyone interested in the philosophical underpinnings of international relations.

**Leadership in International Relations** A. Roth 2010-10-11 Using the engaging case of British security policy between the world wars, this book argues that an effective balance of power, which is the key to a stable international system, is a deliberate act of policy and that leaders play a determinative role in building an effective balance.

**Hard Power** Kurt Campbell 2007-03-09 Our ideas about national security have changed radically over the last five years. It has become a political tool, a "wedge issue," a symbol of pride and fear. It is also the one issue above all others that can make or break an election. And this is why the Democratic Party has been steadily losing power since 2001. In Hard Power, Michael O'Hanlon, an expert on foreign policy at the Brookings Institution, and Kurt Campbell, an authority on international security at the Center for Strategic and International Studies, explain how the Democrats lost credibility on issues of security and foreign policy, how they can get it back—and why they must. They recall the successful Democratic military legacy of past decades, as well as recent Democratic innovations—like the Homeland Security Office and the idea of nation-building—that have been successfully co-

opted by the Republican administration. And, most importantly, they develop a broad national security vision for America, including specific defense policies and a strategy to win the war on terror.

**Power, Balance of Power, and Status in Nineteenth Century International Relations** 1974

**Balancing Power without Weapons** Ashley Thomas Lenihan 2018-03-22 This book focuses on the non-military military means through which states intervene to balance the economic and military power of other states. Also available as Open Access.

**Politics Among Nations** Ramon Pacheco Pardo 2017-07-05 Hans Morgenthau's Politics Among Nations is a classic of political science, built on the firm foundation of Morgenthau's watertight reasoning skills. The central aim of reasoning is to construct a logical and persuasive argument that carefully organizes and supports its conclusions – often around a central concept or scheme of argumentation. Morgenthau's subject was international relations – the way in which the world's nations interact, and come into conflict or peace – a topic which was of vital importance during the unstable wake of the Second World War. To the complex problem of understanding the ways in which the post-war nations were jostling for power, Morgenthau brought a comprehensive schema: the concept of "realism" – or, in other words, the idea that every nation will act so as to maximise its own interests. From this basis, Morgenthau builds a systematic argument for a pragmatic approach to international relations in which nations seeking consensus should aim for a balance of power, grounding relations between states in understandings of how the interests of individual nations can be maximized. Though seismic shifts in international politics after the Cold War undeniably altered the landscape of international relations, Morgenthau's dispassionate reasoning about the nature of our world remains influential to this day.

**New Perspectives on the International Order** Bertrand Badie 2018-06-29 We are told again and again that the world has become increasingly complex and indecipherable. However, this book reminds us that we are no longer alone in the world, that it is time to move away from the mental categories of the Cold War and stop treating all those who challenge our vision of the international order as guilty "deviants" or "Barbarians." The author challenges the diplomacy of Western states, who want to continue to rule the world against history, and in particular that of France, which too often oscillates between arrogance, indecision, and ambiguity. The power play is stuck. The international order can no longer be regulated by a small club of oligarchs who exclude the weaker ones, ignore the demands of societies, and ignore the demands for justice that emerge from a new world where the actors are more numerous, more diverse and more restive to arbitrary disciplines. For this reason, this book also offers ways to think an international order that would be, if not fair, at least less unfair.

**China and International Theory** Chih-yu Shih et al. 2019-03-27 Major IR theories, which stress that actors will inevitably only seek to enhance their own interests, tend to contrive binaries of self and other and 'inside' and 'outside'. By contrast, this book recognizes the general need of all to relate, which they do through various imagined resemblances between them. The authors of this book therefore propose the 'balance of relationships' (BoR) as a new international relations theory to transcend binary ways of thinking. BoR theory differs from mainstream IR theories owing to two key differences in its epistemological position. Firstly, the theory explains why and how states as socially-interrelated actors inescapably pursue a strategy of self-restraint in order to join a network of stable and long-term relationships. Secondly, owing to its focus on explaining bilateral relations, BoR theory bypasses rule-based governance. By positing 'relationality' as a key concept of Chinese international relations, this book shows that BoR can also serve as an important concept in the theorization of international relations, more broadly. The rising interest in developing a Chinese school of IR means the BoR theory will draw attention from students of IR theory, comparative foreign policy, Chinese foreign policy, East Asia, cultural studies, post-Western IR, post-colonial studies and civilizational politics.

**Assessing the Balance of Power in Central-Local Relations in China** John Donaldson 2016-07-01 How do we understand the evolution of central-local relations in China during the reform period? This book addresses this question by focusing on eight separate issues in which the central-local relationship has been especially salient – government finance, investment control, regional development, administrative zoning, implementation, culture, social welfare and international relations. Each chapter introduces a sector and the way the center and various local governments have shared or divided power over the different periods of China's reform era. The balance of power is gauged dynamically over time to measure the extent to which one level of government dominates, influences or shares power in making decisions in each of these particular domains, as well as what is likely to occur in the foreseeable future. The authors assess the winners and losers of these changes among key actors in China's society. The result provides a dynamic view of China's changing power relations.

*Power and International Relations* Inis L. Claude 1962

**US Vs Islamic Militants, Invisible Balance of Power** Sajjad Shaukat 2005

**Century International Relations Power, Balance of Power and Status in Nineteenth Century International Relations** Richard Rosecrance 1974

**The Theory of International Relations** Friedrich Lutz 2017-10-27 The great writings of the past on the subject of international relations add an important dimension to the contemporary study of the field. The Theory of International Relations consists of substantial selections from authors whose ideas should be readily available to all students of international relations. All the passages selected by the editors ask fundamental, theoretical questions searching for the essence of interstate relations. This quest for answers carries the reader into investigations of the causes of war, the balance of power, the relationship between international relations and the political theory of the state, and other major issues of this subject. The editors provide an introduction to the work, which sets out the principles of selection and their belief in the relevance of political thought to the understanding of international relations. The selections are arranged in chronological sequence from Alberico Gentili, writing in 1598, to Heinrich von Treitschke, lecturing in Berlin at the end of the nineteenth century. All are concerned with the nature of international politics. Some of these selections are translated here for the first time and others reprinted from translations not easily obtainable. It is significant that Gentz's essay on the balance of power has not appeared in English since 1806, while Rousseau's writings on international politics have never been fully translated at all. There can be little doubt that the great writers of the past are presently neglected by students of international relations. This work covers extensive ground in solving this problem. As the theoretical background of international relations is acquiring an increasingly important place in college courses in this area, the need for this book is widely felt.

**Polarity, Balance of Power and International Relations** Theory Goedele De Keersmaeker 2016-12-04 This book discusses the rise of polarity as a key concept in International Relations Theory. Since the end of the Cold War, until at least the end of 2010, there has been a wide consensus shared by American academics, political commentators and policy makers: the world was unipolar and would remain so for some time. By contrast, outside the US, a multipolar interpretation prevailed. This volume explores this contradiction and questions the Neorealist claim that polarity is the central structuring element of the international system. Here, the author analyses different historic eras through a polarity lens, compares the way polarity is used in the French and US public discourses, and through careful examination, reaches the conclusion that polarity terminology as a theoretical concept is highly influenced by the Cold War context in which it emerged. This volume is an important resource for students and researchers with a critical approach to Neorealism, and to those interested in the defining shifts the world went through during the last twenty five years.

**The Balance of Power in International Relations** Richard Little 2007-09-13 The balance of power has been a central concept in the theory and practice of international relations for the past five hundred years. It has also played a key role in some of the most important attempts to develop a theory of international politics in the contemporary study of international relations. In this 2007 book, Richard Little establishes a framework that treats the balance of power as a metaphor, a myth and a model. He then uses this framework to reassess four major texts that use the balance of power to promote a theoretical understanding of international relations: Hans J. Morgenthau's Politics Among Nations (1948), Hedley Bull's The Anarchical Society (1977), Kenneth N. Waltz's Theory of International Politics (1979) and John J. Mearsheimer's The Tragedy of Great Power Politics (2001). These reassessments allow the author to develop a more comprehensive model of the balance of power.

*Classics of International Relations* John A. Vasquez 1996 (NOTE: New Readings noted by \*) I Morality and Politics 1 Realism The Melian Dialogue, Thucydides From The Prince Niccoli Machiavelli The War and American Churches Reinhold Niebuhr Political Power: A Realist Theory of International Politics Hans J. Morgenthau Diplomacy in the Modern World George F. Kennan 2 Just War and Idealism. Of War Thomas Aquinas The World Must Be Made Safe for Democracy; The Fourteen Points Woodrow Wilson 3 The Radical Critique Patriotism and Government; Patriotism and Christianity Leo Tolstoy Means and Ends; Passive Resistance; The Atom Bomb America and Japan and Mohandas K. Gandhi Vietnam: Setting the Moral Equation Howard Zinn II Debates over Methods and Theory 4 Defining International Relations Inquiry Long Range Research in International Relations Harold Guetzkow International Theory: The Case for a Classical Approach Hedley Bull The Incomplete Theorist: Insight Without Evidence J. David Singer The Third Debate: On the Prospects of International Theory in a Post-Positivist Era Yosef Lipid Speaking the Language of Exile: Dissident Thought in International Studies Richard K. Ashley and R.B.J. Walker 5 Challenging the Realist Paradigm International Relations or World Society? John Burton Coloring It Morgenthau: New Evidence for an Old Thesis on Quantitative International Politics John A. Vasquez Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory Robert W. Cox Conflict Resolution: Problem Solving Dean G. Pruitt and Jeffrey Z. Rubin III Foreign Policy and Global Conflict 6 Explanations of Foreign Policy Another Great Debate: The National Interest of the United States Hans J. Morgenthau National Security as an Ambiguous Symbol Arnold Wolfers Simulation and Reality: Validity Research Harold Guetzkow and Joseph J. Valadez How Decision-Makers Learn from History Robert Jervis Bureaucratic Politics: A Paradigm and Some Policy Implications Graham T. Allison and Morton H. Halperin Pre-Theories and Theories of Foreign Policy James N. Rosenau 7 Crisis. International Crisis as a Situational Variable Charles F. Hermann Perception and Action in the 1914 Crisis Ole R. Holsti and Robert C. North and Richard A. Brody From Conflict Among Nations Glenn H. Snyder and Paul Diesing 8 War. Of the Natural Condition of Mankind, as Concerning Their Felicity Misery and Thomas Hobbes Warfare Is Only an Invention Not a Biological Necessity Margaret Mead The Use of Mathematics; Arms Races Lewis F. Richardson Dangerous Dyads: Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of War, 1816-1965 Stuart A. Bremer Capabilities, Allocations, and Success in Militarized Disputes and Wars, 1816-1976 Frank W. Wayman and J. David Singer and Gary Goertz 9 Imperialism The Place of Imperialism in History V.I. Lenin A Structural Theory of Imperialism Johan Galtung From Nations in Conflict Nazi Choucri and Robert C. North IV The Search for Peace 10 The Balance of Power Some Problems of International Systems Research Morton A. Kaplan The Power Transition A.F.K. Organski From Theory of International Politics Kenneth N. Waltz 11 Politico-Military Strategy and Nuclear Deterrence On the Nature of War Karl Von Clausewitz The Three Types of Deterrence Herman Kahn The Gap Between Deterrence Theory and.

*The Balance of Power* Evan Luard 2016-07-27 This book examines on an analytical basis the system of international relations between 1648 and 1815. It considers the character of the states, their principal foreign policy goals and the beliefs that influences their relations. The author seeks on this basis to examine the character of the system as a whole: in particular how from the proclaimed desire to maintain the 'balance of power' it succeeded in establishing international stability in preventing the domination of particular states.

*The Balance of Power* Michael J. Sheehan 1996 Maurice Ravel: A Research and Information Guide is an annotated bibliography concerning both the nature of primary sources related to the composer and the scope and significance of the secondary sources which deal with him, his compositions, and his influence as a composer and theorist.

*The Balance of Power in International Relations* Richard Little 2007 "The balance of power has been a central concept in the theory and practice of international relations for the past five hundred years. It has also played a key role in some of the most important attempts to develop a theory of international politics in the contemporary study of international relations. In this book, Richard Little establishes a framework that treats the balance of power as a metaphor, a myth and a model. He then uses this framework to reassess four major texts that use the balance of power to promote a theoretical understanding of international relations: Hans J. Morgenthau's Politics Among Nations (1948), Hedley Bull's The Anarchical Society (1977), Kenneth N. Waltz's Theory of International Politics (1979) and John J. Mearsheimer's The Tragedy of Great Power Politics (2001). These reassessments allow the author to develop a more comprehensive model of the balance of power"—Publisher description.

*The Balance Of Power* Michael Sheehan 2004-11-11 First Published in 2004. Routledge is an imprint of Taylor & Francis, an informa company.

*Balance of Power* T. V. Paul 2004 Since the sudden disappearance of the Soviet Union, many scholars have argued that the balance of power theory is losing its relevance. This text examines this viewpoint, as well as looking at systematic factors that may hinder or favour the return of balance of power politics.

*Accommodating Rising Powers* T. V. Paul 2016-03-07 As the world enters the third decade of the twenty-first century, far-reaching changes are likely to occur. China, Russia, India, and Brazil, and perhaps others, are likely to emerge as contenders for global leadership roles. War as a system-changing mechanism is unimaginable, given that it would escalate into nuclear conflict and the destruction of the planet. It is therefore essential that policymakers in established as well as rising states devise strategies to allow transitions without resorting to war, but dominant theories of International Relations contend that major changes in the system are generally possible only through violent conflict. This volume asks whether peaceful accommodation of rising powers is possible in the changed international context, especially against the backdrop of intensified globalization. With the aid of historic cases, it argues that peaceful change is possible through effective long-term strategies on the part of both status quo and rising powers.

**World Out of Balance** Stephen G. Brooks 2008-07-21 Introduction -- Realism, balance-of-power theory, and the counterbalancing constraint -- Realism, balance-of-threat theory, and the "soft balancing" constraint -- Liberalism, globalization, and constraints derived from economic interdependence -- Institutionalism and the constraint of reputation -- Constructivism and the constraint of legitimacy -- A new agenda **The Balance of Power in International Relations** Hatuna Pokrovskaia 2009 "By examining the balance of power and power politics of the 20th century as functions of the Cold War, the redistribution of power as political capital can be assessed for post- Cold War actors. The economic and political mechanisms that were the engines of the Cold War are still in place today and can be observed on a different scale. The major actors no longer obliged to maintain a "cold peace" can play out their interests on smaller, regional scales; using proxy wars, political pressure, and various economic instruments. Given the major political shift that has occurred in the world since the collapse of the Soviet Union, the new world order is examined through the lens of globalization. The focus of this dissertation is Russia, as it is the country that has worked hardest over the past decade to shift the international system towards a multipolar balance.

**From Balance of Power to Democratizing International Relations** Rudolph C. Ryser 1992